

Finite convergent presentation of plactic monoid for type C¹

NOHRA HAGE

Abstract – We give an explicit presentation for the plactic monoid for type C using admissible column generators. Thanks to the combinatorial properties of symplectic tableaux, we prove that this presentation is finite and convergent. We obtain as a corollary that plactic monoids for type C satisfy homological finiteness properties.

Keywords – Plactic monoid; crystal graphs; symplectic tableau; convergent presentations.

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminaries	4
2.1	Rewriting properties of 2-polygraphs	4
2.2	Crystal graphs	5
2.3	Young diagram	8
3	Plactic monoid for type C	9
3.1	Symplectic Tableaux	9
3.2	Definition of the plactic monoid for type C	10
3.3	A bumping algorithm for type C	11
4	Convergent presentation of plactic monoid for type C	17
4.1	Knuth-like presentation	17
4.2	Column presentation	18
4.3	Finiteness properties of plactic monoid of type C	21

February 2, 2016

¹This work is partially supported by the French National Research Agency, ANR-13-BS02-0005-02.

1. INTRODUCTION

The plactic monoid was discovered by Knuth in [Knu70], using the theory of tableaux defined by Schensted in [Sch61] in his study of the longest increasing subsequence of a word. Lascoux and Schützenberger in [LS81] used the plactic monoid to give a proof of the Littlewood–Richardson rule for the decomposition of tensor products of irreducible modules on the Lie algebra of traceless square matrices. The plactic monoid has found several applications in algebraic combinatorics and representation theory [LS81, LLT95, Ful97, Lot02]. More recently, the plactic monoid was investigated by rewriting methods [KO14, BCCL15, CGM15].

Consider the ordered alphabet $\mathcal{A}_n = \{1 < 2 < \dots < n\}$. For every word w over the free monoid $\mathcal{A}_n^*$, a unique tableau $P(w)$ can be computed using Schensted’s insertion algorithm (column insertion) [Sch61]. One can define a relation $\sim$ on the free monoid $\mathcal{A}_n^*$ by:

$$u \sim v \text{ if and only if } P(u) = P(v)$$

for all u and v in $\mathcal{A}_n^*$. Then the quotient $\mathbf{P}_n(\mathcal{A}) := \mathcal{A}_n^* / \sim$ is called the plactic monoid. The plactic monoid can be also described as the quotient of $\mathcal{A}_n^*$ by the congruence generated by the Knuth relations:

$$\{ xzy = zxy \mid 1 \leq x < y \leq z \leq n \} \cup \{ yxz = yzx \mid 1 \leq x \leq y < z \leq n \} \quad (1)$$

which is called the *Knuth presentation*.

Thanks to Kashiwara’s theory of crystal bases [Kas91, JMMO91, KN94, Kas95], plactic monoids can be defined for all classical simple Lie algebras. To each classical simple Lie algebra, one associates a finite alphabet S indexing a basis of the vector representation V of the algebra. Two words u and v in the monoid S^* are plactic congruent if they appear in the same place in isomorphic connected components of the crystal graph of the representation $\bigoplus_{\lambda} V^{\otimes \lambda}$.

The plactic monoid introduced by Schensted and Knuth corresponds to the representations of the simple Lie algebra of traceless square matrices which is of type A , and known as the plactic monoid of type A . Similarly, plactic monoids of type C , B and D correspond respectively to the representations of the symplectic Lie algebra, the odd-dimensional orthogonal Lie algebra and the even-dimensional orthogonal Lie algebra.

Lascoux, Leclerc and Thibon defined in [LLT95] the plactic monoid of type A using the theory of crystal bases and gave a presentation of the plactic monoid $\mathbf{P}_n(C)$ of type C without proof. Lecouvey in [Lec02] and Baker in [Bak00] described independently the monoid $\mathbf{P}_n(C)$ using also Kashiwara’s theory of crystal bases.

Plactic monoids can be also defined for any semisimple Lie algebra using Littelmann’s path model, see [Lit96].

We deal with presentations of monoids from the rewriting theory perspective. In this context, relations are oriented and are considered as rewriting steps. A presentation terminates if it has no infinite rewriting sequence. A terminating presentation is confluent if all its critical branchings resolve. A presentation is convergent if it terminates and is confluent. Having a finite convergent presentation of a monoid has many advantages: for examples the computation of normal form and the computation of a

free finitely generated resolution of the monoid which allows deduction of some homological properties [Kob90, Ani86]. An open problem was to find a finite convergent presentation of plactic monoids.

In [CGM15], Cain, Gray and Malheiro answered positively this question in type A. They constructed a finite presentation by adding the column generators, in the spirit of Kapur and Narendran in [KN85]. They proved the convergence of this presentation using the combinatorial properties of Young tableaux. But the above question was still open for plactic monoids for the others types.

In this work, we consider the plactic monoid for type C constructed by Lecouvey in [Lec02]. We construct a finite convergent presentation for this monoid, again by adding new generators. The generating set of this presentation contains the finite set of admissible columns introduced by Kashiwara and Nakashima in [KN94]. The right side of the relations of this presentation is the result of the Lecouvey's insertion of an admissible column into another one. In other words, we show that the right-hand sides of rewriting rules are symplectic tableaux consisting of at most two admissible columns. As a consequence, we deduce that plactic monoids for type C satisfy some homological finiteness properties.

The confluence of our presentation is proved using the unique normal form property and not by studying the confluence of the critical branchings. This method did not allow us to construct a coherent presentation of the monoid $\mathbf{P}_n(C)$. Such a presentation extends the notion of a presentation of the monoid by homotopy generators taking into account the relations among the relations. An interesting work would be to construct coherent presentations for the monoid $\mathbf{P}_n(C)$ which allow to describe the notion of an action of this monoid on categories, see [GGM15]. A coherent presentation of a monoid is a first step to a polygraphic resolution of the monoid, that is, a categorical cofibrant replacement of the monoid which can be used to compute its homological invariants [GM12]. In [Lop14], Lopatkin constructed Anick's resolution for the monoid $\mathbf{P}_n(A)$ starting with a finite convergent presentation. Our finite convergent presentation of the monoid $\mathbf{P}_n(C)$ should allow us to compute a polygraphic resolution of it which is a generalisation of Anick's resolution.

While submitting this paper, we came across the work of Cain, Gray and Malheiro [CGM14]. They construct by a different method, similar finite convergent presentations for plactic monoids of type B, C and D. They use Lecouvey's presentations of plactic monoids whereas we use Lecouvey's insertion algorithm. For type A, using Schensted's column insertion we can insert a column V into a column U and during this insertion either we add boxes at the bottom of the column U filled by the elements of V or the elements of the column V bump some boxes of U into a new column. Thus we have directly that the result is a tableau consisting of at most two columns where the right one contains fewer elements than U . Note that it is more difficult to prove the later result using the Knuth presentation. For type C, using Lecouvey's insertion we generalise this construction and we prove the same results in Lemma 3.3.7 and Lemma 3.3.8 for admissible columns, which is in some sense more natural and more combinatorial than the other method.

In [Lec03], Lecouvey gave presentations for plactic monoids of type B and D and generalized the notion of admissible column to these types. He also introduced the notion of *orthogonal tableaux* [Lec03, Section 3]. Let $\mathcal{B}_n$ and $\mathcal{D}_n$ be respectively the alphabets corresponding to type B and D. Using the same insertion's algorithm described in Sections 3.3.1 and 3.3.3, Lecouvey showed that for any word w in the free monoids $\mathcal{B}_n^*$ and $\mathcal{D}_n^*$, one can compute a unique orthogonal tableau $P(w)$ which its reading is equal to w in the corresponding plactic monoid.

Using the same strategy as in this paper, one can construct finite convergent presentations of plactic monoids for type B and D by introducing admissible column generators. The rewriting system rewrites two admissible columns that do not form an orthogonal tableau to their corresponding orthogonal tableau form. Since Kashiwara's theory of crystal graphs exists for type B and D, one can show that Lemmas 3.3.7 and 3.3.8 are also true for these types. Hence by this approach, we should obtain the same result as Theorem 4.2.4 for plactic monoids of type B and D.

The paper is organised as follows. We first recall in Section 2 the notion of 2-polygraphs which corresponds to a presentation of a monoid by a rewriting system, that is a presentation by generators and oriented relations. After that, we present some properties of crystal graphs and Young diagram. In Section 3, we present the definitions and some properties of admissible columns and symplectic tableaux. We describe the column insertion algorithm for type C introduced by Lecouvey in [Lec02] and a definition of the plactic monoid of type C. In Section 4, we give a finite and convergent presentation of the plactic monoid for type C using admissible column generators.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Rewriting properties of 2-polygraphs

We give some rewriting properties of the presentations of monoids. These presentations are studied in terms of polygraphs in [GM14]. A *2-polygraph* is a triple $\Sigma = (\Sigma_0, \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2)$ made of an oriented graph

$$\Sigma_0 \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{s_0} \\ \xleftarrow{t_0} \end{array} \Sigma_1$$

where Σ_0 and Σ_1 are respectively the sets of objects, or generating 0-cells and of arrows, or generating 1-cells and s_0, t_0 denote the source and target maps. The set Σ_2 is a globular extension of the free category Σ_1^* , that is, a set of 2-cells equipped with source and target maps $s_1, t_1 : \Sigma_2 \longrightarrow \Sigma_1^*$ and relating parallel 1-cells

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & s_1(\alpha) & \\ x & \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \Downarrow \alpha \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} & y \\ & t_1(\alpha) & \end{array}$$

such that $s_0 s_1(\alpha) = s_0 t_1(\alpha)$ and $t_0 s_1(\alpha) = t_0 t_1(\alpha)$, where $s_1(\alpha), t_1(\alpha) \in \Sigma_1^*$. In our case, we deal with monoids, that is, categories with only one 0-cell, so that the set Σ_0 contains only one 0-cell. In the sequel, the set Σ_0 is omitted and a 2-polygraph is denoted by $\Sigma = (\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2)$.

A monoid M is presented by a 2-polygraph Σ if M is isomorphic to the quotient of the free monoid Σ_1^* by the congruence generated by Σ_2 . Then the generating 1-cells are the generators of M and the generating 2-cells correspond to the relations of M . Note that we will also say words for the 1-cells of Σ_1^* in a case of monoid. Denote by $l(w)$ the length of a word w on Σ_1^* .

A 2-polygraph Σ is *finite* if Σ_0 , Σ_1 and Σ_2 are finite. For two words u and v in Σ_1^* , we write $u \Rightarrow v$ for a 2-cell in Σ_2 . A *rewriting step* of Σ is a 2-cell in Σ_2 with shape

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & u & & \\ & \nearrow & \Downarrow \varphi & \searrow & \\ x & \xrightarrow{w} & y & & z & \xrightarrow{w'} & t \\ & \searrow & \Uparrow & \nearrow & \\ & & v & & \end{array}$$

where φ is a 2-cell in Σ_2 and w and w' are words of Σ_1^* . A *rewriting sequence* of Σ is a finite or infinite sequence of rewriting steps. We say that u rewrites into v if Σ has a nonempty rewriting sequence from u to v . A word of Σ_1^* is a *normal form* if Σ has no rewriting step with source u . A normal form of u is a word v of Σ_1^* that is a normal form and such that u rewrites into v . We say that Σ *terminates* if it has no infinite rewriting sequences. We say that Σ is *confluent* if for any words u , u' and u'' of Σ_1^* , such that u rewrites into u' and u'' , there exists a word v in Σ_1^* such that u' and u'' rewrite into v , that is, we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & u' & & \\ & \nearrow & \cdots & \searrow & \\ u & \xRightarrow{\quad} & & & v \\ & \searrow & \cdots & \nearrow & \\ & & u'' & & \end{array}$$

We say that Σ is *convergent* if it terminates and it is confluent. Note that a terminating 2-polygraph is convergent if every word admits a unique normal form.

Two 2-polygraphs are *Tietze-equivalent* if they present the same monoid. Two finite 2-polygraphs are Tietze-equivalent if, and only if, they are related by a finite sequence of elementary Tietze transformations. That is, one of the following transformations:

- adjunction or elimination of a 1-cell x and of a 2-cell $\alpha : u \Rightarrow x$, where u is a 1-cell of $(\Sigma_1 \setminus \{x\})^*$,
- adjunction or elimination of a 2-cell $\alpha : u \Rightarrow v$ such that u and v are related by a nonoriented sequence of 2-cells all in $\Sigma_2 \setminus \{\alpha\}$.

2.2. Crystal graphs

Consider the following data. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a semisimple Lie algebra. Let P be the weight lattice for $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $P^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P, \mathbb{Z})$. Let $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$ be the simple roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\{h_i\}_{i \in I}$ the corresponding coroots. The two lattices P and P^* are free $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules of rank $\sharp I$, see [Bou68]. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : P^* \times P \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the canonical pairing.

A *crystal* is a set B endowed with applications

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wt} : B &\longrightarrow P, \\ \varepsilon_i : B &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}, \\ \varphi_i : B &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}, \\ \tilde{e}_i : B &\longrightarrow B \cup \{0\}, \\ \tilde{f}_i : B &\longrightarrow B \cup \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

satisfying the following properties :

2. Preliminaries

- $\varphi_i(b) = \varepsilon_i(b) + \langle h_i, \text{wt}(b) \rangle$, for any i .
- If $b \in B$ satisfies $\tilde{e}_i(b) \neq 0$, then $\varepsilon_i(\tilde{e}_i(b)) = \varepsilon_i(b) - 1$, $\varphi_i(\tilde{e}_i(b)) = \varphi_i(b) + 1$ and $\text{wt}(\tilde{e}_i(b)) = \text{wt}(b) + \alpha_i$.
- If $b \in B$ satisfies $\tilde{f}_i(b) \neq 0$, then $\varepsilon_i(\tilde{f}_i(b)) = \varepsilon_i(b) + 1$, $\varphi_i(\tilde{f}_i(b)) = \varphi_i(b) - 1$ and $\text{wt}(\tilde{f}_i(b)) = \text{wt}(b) - \alpha_i$.
- For $b_1, b_2 \in B$, $b_2 = \tilde{f}_i(b_1)$ if and only if $b_1 = \tilde{e}_i(b_2)$.
- If $\varphi_i(b) = -\infty$, then $\tilde{e}_i(b) = \tilde{f}_i(b) = 0$.

The tensor product of two crystals B_1 and B_2 is defined by

$$B_1 \otimes B_2 = \{b_1 \otimes b_2 \mid b_1 \in B_1, b_2 \in B_2\}.$$

The set $B_1 \otimes B_2$ is endowed with a structure of crystal by defining the action of $\tilde{e}_i$ and $\tilde{f}_i$ on the tensor product by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_i(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \begin{cases} \tilde{f}_i(b_1) \otimes b_2 & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) > \varepsilon_i(b_2) \\ b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_i(b_2) & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) \leq \varepsilon_i(b_2) \end{cases} \\ \tilde{e}_i(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \begin{cases} b_1 \otimes \tilde{e}_i(b_2) & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) < \varepsilon_i(b_2) \\ \tilde{e}_i(b_1) \otimes b_2 & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) \geq \varepsilon_i(b_2) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon_i(b_1) = \max\{k \mid \tilde{e}_i^k(b_1) \neq 0\}$ and $\varphi_i(b_1) = \max\{k \mid \tilde{f}_i^k(b_1) \neq 0\}$.

Crystal graphs are oriented graphs with labeled arrows. The set of vertices is B and an arrow $a \xrightarrow{i} b$ means that $\tilde{f}_i(a) = b$ and $\tilde{e}_i(b) = a$.

The *symplectic Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ is the Lie algebra of $2n$ by $2n$ matrices A , for $n > 0$, that satisfy

$$\Omega A + A^T \Omega = 0,$$

where A^T is the transpose of A and $\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

This Lie algebra is a semisimple Lie algebra of type C and we denote by Λ_i , for $i = 1, \dots, n$, its fundamental weights, see [Bou68]. In this case, $P = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z}\Lambda_i$.

Let $V_n = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ be the vector representation of $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$, this representation is of dimension $2n$ and we index a basis of V_n by the set

$$\mathcal{C}_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n, \bar{n}, \dots, \bar{1}\},$$

totally ordered by $1 < 2 < \dots < n < \bar{n} < \dots < \bar{1}$. Denote by $\mathcal{C}_n^*$ the free monoid over $\mathcal{C}_n$.

Note that every representation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ admits a crystal graph. Recall that the crystal graph of the vector representation V_n is :

$$1 \xrightarrow{1} 2 \xrightarrow{2} \dots \rightarrow n-1 \xrightarrow{n-1} n \xrightarrow{n} \bar{n} \xrightarrow{\bar{n}} \overline{n-1} \xrightarrow{\overline{n-1}} \dots \rightarrow \bar{2} \xrightarrow{\bar{1}} \bar{1}.$$

In [KN94], Kashiwara and Nakashima showed that the monoid C_n^* is a crystal and described a process to compute the action of the crystal operators $\tilde{e}_i$ and $\tilde{f}_i$ on a word w of the monoid C_n^* , for a fixed i . First, one considers the word w_i obtained by deleting all symbols other than $i, i+1, \overline{i+1}$ and $\bar{i}$ from w . One identifies the letters i and $\bar{i+1}$ by the symbol $+$ and the letters $i+1$ and $\bar{i}$ by the symbol $-$. Secondly, we remove the subwords of length 2 in w_i which correspond to the symbol $+-$, i.e., we remove adjacent letters $(i, i+1), (i, \bar{i}), (\bar{i+1}, i+1)$ and $(\bar{i+1}, \bar{i})$. Then we obtain a new subword of w . The second step of the process is repeated until it is impossible to remove more letters. Let r and s be respectively the number of letters corresponding to the symbols $-$ and $+$ in the final subword.

- If $r > 0$ then $\tilde{e}_i(w)$ is obtained by replacing in w the rightmost element with the symbol $-$ of the final subword, by its corresponding element with the symbol $+$, i.e., $i+1$ is transformed into i or $\bar{i}$ into $\bar{i+1}$ or for $i = n$, $\bar{n}$ into n , and the others elements of w stay unchanged. If $r = 0$, then $\tilde{e}_i(w) = 0$.
- If $s > 0$ then $\tilde{f}_i(w)$ is obtained by replacing in w the leftmost element with the symbol $+$ of the final subword, by its corresponding element with the symbol $-$, i.e., i is transformed into $i+1$ or $\bar{i+1}$ into $\bar{i}$ or for $i = n$, n into $\bar{n}$, and the others elements of w stay unchanged. If $s = 0$, then $\tilde{f}_i(w) = 0$.

2.2.1. Example. Consider the word $w = \bar{3}32313\bar{3}233\bar{3}1$. For $i = 2$, we have $w_i = \bar{3}3233\bar{3}233\bar{3}$. After deleting subwords corresponding to $+-$, the first subword of w_i is $\bar{3}3\bar{3}$. After repeating this process, the second subword is $3\bar{3}$. We cannot remove new elements from the last subword, then $r = s = 1$. Finally, we obtain :

$$\tilde{e}_2(w) = \bar{3}32312\bar{3}233\bar{3}1 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{f}_2(w) = \bar{3}32313\bar{3}233\bar{3}1.$$

Now, we consider tensor products of the vector representation $V_n^{\otimes l}$, for any l and the infinite dimensional representation $\bigoplus_l V_n^{\otimes l}$. The crystal graphs of these representations are denoted by $G_{n,l}$ and G_n , respectively. Note that each vertex $x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_l$ of the crystal graph $V_n^{\otimes l}$ is identified with the word $x_1 x_2 \dots x_l$ in the monoid C_n^* . In other words, the vertices of G_n are indexed by the words of C_n^* and those of $G_{n,l}$ by the words of length l .

In addition, the crystal graph $G_{n,l}$ can be decomposed into connected components. They correspond to the crystal graphs of the irreducible representations occurring in the decomposition of $V_n^{\otimes l}$. If w is a vertex of $G_{n,l}$, the connected component of $G_{n,l}$ containing w is denoted by $B(w)$. In each connected component, there exists a unique vertex w^0 which satisfy the following property:


$$\tilde{e}_i(w^0) = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

This vertex is called the *vertex of highest weight*, and its weight is

$$\text{wt}(w^0) = d_n \Lambda_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (d_i - d_{i+1}) \Lambda_i,$$

where d_i is the number of letters i in w^0 minus the number of letters $\bar{i}$. Two connected components are isomorphic if there is a weight-preserving labeled digraph isomorphism from one to the other. Note that this isomorphism is unique.

2.2.2. Example. For $n = 2$, the crystal $B(11)$ is presented by :



where the vertices are labeled by words. In this case, the vertex of highest weight is 11 and its weight is $2\Lambda_1$.

2.2.3. Lemma ([KN94]). For any words u and v in C_n^* , the word uv is a vertex of highest weight of a connected component of G_n if, and only if, u is a vertex of highest weight and $\varepsilon_i(v) \leq \varphi_i(u)$ for any $i = 1, \dots, n$.


For more details about crystal graphs, the reader is referred to [Kas91, Kas95, KN94].

2.3. Young diagram

A *Young diagram* is a collection of boxes in left-justified rows, where each row has the same or shorter length than the one above it.

Let $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \Lambda_i$ be the highest weight of an irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$, with $\lambda_i \geq 0$. Note that λ corresponds to the Young diagram as follows. For λ , we associate the Young diagram $Y(\lambda)$ containing λ_i columns of height i . We say that this Young diagram has shape λ and the number of its boxes is equal to $|\lambda| = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i i$.

2.3.1. Example. The Young diagram $Y(2\Lambda_1 + 3\Lambda_2 + \Lambda_3)$ is



Denote by $B(\lambda)$ the connected component of the crystal graph such that its vertex of highest weight has weight λ .

3. PLACTIC MONOID FOR TYPE C

3.1. Symplectic Tableaux

A *column* for type C is a Young diagram U consisting of one column filled by letters of $\mathcal{C}_n$ strictly increasing from top to bottom. We call the *reading* of a column U the word $w(U)$ obtained by reading the letters of U from top to bottom. The height of a column U is the number of letters in U and denoted by $h(U)$. A word w is a column word if there exists a column U such that $w = w(U)$.

For example the Young diagram

$$U = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline \overline{6} \\ \hline \overline{5} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

is a column. Its reading is $w(U) = 123\overline{6}\overline{5}$.

In [KN94], Kashiwara and Nakashima introduced the notion of admissible column. Let $w(U) = x_1 \dots x_{h(U)}$ be the reading of a column U . For us, the column C is *admissible* if for $m = 1, \dots, h(U)$, the number $N(m)$ of letters x in U such that $x \leq m$ or $x \geq \overline{m}$ satisfies $N(m) \leq m$.

Let U be a column and $I = \{x_1 > \dots > x_r\}$ be the set of unbarred letters such that $x_i, \overline{x}_i \in U$, for $i = 1, \dots, r$. The column U can be *split* if there exists a set of unbarred letters $J = \{y_1 > \dots > y_r\}$ containing r elements of $\mathcal{C}_n$ such that :

- y_1 is the greatest letter of $\mathcal{C}_n$ satisfying $y_1 < x_1, y_1 \notin U$ and $\overline{y}_1 \notin U$,
- for $i = 2, \dots, r$, y_i is the greatest letter of $\mathcal{C}_n$ such that $y_i < \min(y_{i-1}, x_i)$, $y_i \notin U$ and $\overline{y}_i \notin U$.

Denote by rU the column obtained by changing in U , $\overline{x}_i$ into $\overline{y}_i$ for each letter x_i in the set I up to reordering. Denote by lU the column obtained by changing in U , x_i into y_i for each letter x_i in the set I up to reordering.

3.1.1. Proposition ([She99, Section 4]). *A column U is admissible if, and only if, it can be split.*

3.1.2. Example. Let $w(U) = 2568\overline{8}\overline{5}\overline{2}$ be the reading of a column U . Then

$$I = \{8 > 5 > 2\}, \quad J = \{7 > 4 > 1\}, \\ w(rU) = 2568\overline{7}\overline{4}\overline{1} \quad \text{and} \quad w(lU) = 1467\overline{8}\overline{5}\overline{2}.$$

The column U can be split, so that it is an admissible column.

3.1.3. Example. Let $w(U') = 2346\overline{6}\overline{3}\overline{2}$ be the reading of a column U' . Then

$$I = \{6, 3, 2\}, \quad y_1 = 5, \quad y_2 = 1$$

and we cannot find an element y_3 of $\mathcal{C}_n$ such that $y_3 < 1$. Thus U' cannot be split.

3. Plactic monoid for type C

Using admissible columns, one can construct a tableau whose columns are admissible with an additional property on them. This tableau is called the symplectic tableau. We will recall its definition in our context. Let $U_1, \dots, U_r$ be the r columns from left to right of a Young diagram T , then T is denoted by $T = U_1 \dots U_r$.

Let U_1 and U_2 be two admissible columns. Consider the following notation :

- $U_1 \leq U_2$ if $h(U_1) \geq h(U_2)$ and the rows of the tableau $U_1 U_2$ are weakly increasing from left to right.
- $U_1 \preceq U_2$ if $rU_1 \leq lU_2$.

Consider a tableau $T = U_1 \dots U_r$, with admissible column U_i , for $i = 1, \dots, r$. The tableau T is a *symplectic tableau* if $U_i \preceq U_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, r-1$. The reading of the symplectic tableau T is the word $w(T)$ obtained by reading the columns of T from right to left, that is

$$w(T) = w(U_r)w(U_{r-1}) \dots w(U_1).$$

3.1.4. Example. Let us consider the tableau

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & \bar{3} & \\ \hline 3 & \bar{2} & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

T is a symplectic tableau. Indeed,

- $w(U_1) = 123$, $I_{U_1} = J_{U_1} = \emptyset$ and $w(rU_1) = w(lU_1) = 123$.
- $w(U_2) = 2\bar{3}\bar{2}$, $I_{U_2} = \{2\}$, $J_{U_2} = \{1\}$, $w(rU_2) = 2\bar{3}\bar{1}$ and $w(lU_2) = 1\bar{3}\bar{2}$.
- $w(U_3) = 3$, $I_{U_3} = J_{U_3} = \emptyset$ and $w(rU_3) = w(lU_3) = 3$.

The columns U_1, U_2 and U_3 can be split, so they are admissible columns. We have $U_1 \preceq U_2 \preceq U_3$, so T is a symplectic tableau and $w(T) = 32\bar{3}\bar{2}123$.

3.1.5. Remark. Let $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \Lambda_i$ be a weight with $\lambda_i \geq 0$. By Theorem 4.5.1 in [KN94], $B(\lambda)$ coincides with the set of symplectic tableaux of shape λ . More precisely, the readings of these tableaux are the vertices of a connected component of $G_{n,|\lambda|}$ isomorphic to $B(\lambda)$. The highest weight vertex of this component is the reading of the tableau of shape λ filled with 1 on the 1st row, 2 on the 2nd row, ... , and n on the n th row. In particular, the reading of the highest weight vertex of a connected component containing admissible columns of height p is $12 \dots p$.

3.2. Definition of the plactic monoid for type C

Recall that for type A, we consider the ordered alphabet $\mathcal{A}_n = \{1 < 2 < \dots < n\}$. The plactic monoid $\mathbf{P}_n(A)$ of type A is presented by the quotient of $\mathcal{A}_n^*$ by the congruence generated by the Knuth

relations (1). This presentation is called the *Knuth presentation*. Note that the Knuth presentation can be also described using Kashiwara's theory of crystal graphs, see [LLT95].

Let us define the plactic monoid for type C. Let u and v be two words in C_n^* . One can define a relation $\sim$ on the free monoid C_n^* by : $u \sim v$ if, and only if, $B(u)$ and $B(v)$ are isomorphic and u and v have the same position in the isomorphic connected component $B(u)$ and $B(v)$ of the crystal G_n . In other words, $u \sim v$ if and only if there exist $i_1, \dots, i_r$ such that $u = f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_r}(u^0)$ and $v = f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_r}(v^0)$, where u^0 and v^0 are the vertices of highest weight of $B(u)$ and $B(v)$.

3.2.1. Proposition ([Lec02, Proposition 3.1.2]). *Every word w in C_n^* admits a unique symplectic tableau T such that $w \sim w(T)$.*

The unique symplectic tableau T such that $w \sim w(T)$ is denoted by $P(w)$. The quotient $\mathbf{P}_n(C) := C_n^* / \sim$ is called the *plactic monoid for type C* or the *symplectic plactic monoid*.

Furthermore, the plactic monoid for type C can be presented by generators and relations. Consider the congruence $\equiv$ generated by the following families of relations on C_n^* :

$$(R_1) : \begin{cases} yzx \equiv yxz & \text{for } x \leq y < z \text{ with } z \neq \bar{x} \\ xzy \equiv zxy & \text{for } x < y \leq z \text{ with } z \neq \bar{x} \end{cases}$$

$$(R_2) : \begin{cases} y(\overline{x-1})(x-1) \equiv yx\bar{x} & \text{for } 1 < x \leq n \text{ and } x \leq y \leq \bar{x} \\ x\bar{x}y \equiv (\overline{x-1})(x-1)y & \text{for } 1 < x \leq n \text{ and } x \leq y \leq \bar{x} \end{cases}$$

(R_3) : let w be a nonadmissible column word such that each strict factor of it is an admissible column word. Let z be the lowest unbarred letter such that $z, \bar{z} \in w$ and $N(z) = z + 1$. Then $w \equiv \tilde{w}$, where $\tilde{w}$ is the column word obtained by erasing z and $\bar{z}$ from w .

3.2.2. Remark. The relations (R_1) contain the Knuth relations for type A. The relations (R_3) are called the *contraction relations*.

3.2.3. Theorem ([Lec02, Theorem 3.2.8]). *For any words u and v in C_n^* , we have*

$$u \sim v \text{ if and only if } u \equiv v \text{ if and only if } P(u) = P(v).$$

3.3. A bumping algorithm for type C

In [Sch61], Schensted introduces an insertion algorithm (column insertion) to compute a unique tableau $P(w)$ for a word w over the alphabet $\mathcal{A}_n = \{1 < \dots < n\}$. The column insertion procedure inserts a letter x into a tableau T as follows. Let y be the smallest element of the leftmost column of the tableau T such that $y \geq x$. Then x replaces y in the leftmost column and y is bumped into the next column where the process is repeated. This procedure terminates when the letter which is bumped is greater than all the elements of the next column. Then it is placed at the bottom of that column. Hence the tableau $P(w)$ can be computed by starting with the empty word, which is a valid tableau, and iteratively applying Schensted's algorithm.

In [Lec02], Lecouvey introduces an insertion scheme to compute the symplectic tableau $P(w)$ analogous to the Schensted's algorithm for type A. We present in Sections 3.3.1 and 3.3.3 Lecouvey's algorithms and we refer the reader to [Lec02] for more details.

Let denote by $x \rightarrow T$ the insertion of a letter x in a symplectic tableau T .

3. Plactic monoid for type C

3.3.1. Insertion of a letter in an admissible column. Consider a word $w = w(U)x$, where x is a letter and U is an admissible column of height p . We have three cases :

- If w is the reading of an admissible column, then $x \rightarrow U$ is the column obtained by adding a box filled by the letter x at the bottom of U . In this case, the highest weight vertex of $B(w)$ is equal to $1 \dots p(p+1)$.
- If w is a nonadmissible column word such that each strict factor of it is admissible, then $x \rightarrow U$ is the column of reading $\tilde{w}$ obtained from w by applying one relation of type (R_3) , which is uniquely determined [Lec02]. In this case, the highest weight vertex of $B(w)$ is equal to $1 \dots p\bar{p}$.
- If w is not a column word, then $x \rightarrow U$ is obtained by applying relations of type (R_1) or (R_2) to the final subword of length 3 of w . On the resulting word, one continues by applying relations of type (R_1) or (R_2) to the maximal overlapping factor of length 3 to the left and this procedure is repeated until the first factor of length 3 has been operated. The result is the reading of a symplectic tableau consisting of a column U' of height p and a column $\boxed{x'}$, where x' is an element of C_n . Then

$$x \rightarrow U = U' \boxed{x'} = P(w).$$

In this case, the highest weight vertex of $B(w)$ is equal to $1 \dots p1$.

3.3.2. Example. Let us consider the following three examples.

1. Suppose $w(U) = 3\bar{6}\bar{4}$ and $x = \bar{3}$, then

$$\bar{3} \rightarrow \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 3 \\ \hline 6 \\ \hline \bar{6} \\ \hline \bar{4} \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 3 \\ \hline 6 \\ \hline \bar{6} \\ \hline \bar{4} \\ \hline \bar{3} \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

2. Suppose $w(U) = 14\bar{4}\bar{3}$ and $x = \bar{2}$, the word $14\bar{4}\bar{3}\bar{2}$ is a nonadmissible column word such that each strict subword of it is an admissible column word, then we obtain by applying relation of type (R_3) ,

$$\bar{2} \rightarrow \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 4 \\ \hline \bar{4} \\ \hline \bar{3} \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline \bar{3} \\ \hline \bar{2} \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

3. Suppose $w(U) = 14\bar{4}\bar{3}$ and $x = 2$, then the word $14\bar{4}\bar{3}2$ is not a column word. By applying relations of type (R_1) or (R_2) , we obtain:

$$14\bar{4}\bar{3}2 \equiv 14\bar{4}2\bar{3} \equiv 14\bar{2}\bar{4}\bar{3} \equiv 41\bar{2}\bar{4}\bar{3}.$$

Then

$$2 \rightarrow \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 4 \\ \hline \bar{4} \\ \hline \bar{3} \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 4 \\ \hline 2 & \\ \hline \bar{4} & \\ \hline \bar{3} & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

3.3.3. Insertion of a letter in a symplectic tableau. Let $T = U_1 \dots U_r$ be a symplectic tableau with admissible column U_i , for $i = 1, \dots, r$, and x be a letter. We have three cases:

- If $w(U_1)x$ is an admissible column word, then $x \rightarrow T$ is the tableau obtained by adding a box filled by x on the bottom of U_1 .
- If $w(U_1)x$ is a nonadmissible column word such that each strict factor of it is an admissible column word. Let $\widetilde{w(U_1)x} = y_1 \dots y_s$ be the admissible column word obtained from $w(U_1)x$ by applying relation of type (R_3) and $\widehat{T} = U_2 \dots U_r$ be the tableau obtained from T after eliminating the leftmost column U_1 . Then $x \rightarrow T$ is obtained by inserting successively the elements of $\widetilde{w(U_1)x}$ in the tableau $\widehat{T}$. That is,

$$x \rightarrow T = y_s \rightarrow (y_{s-1} \rightarrow (\dots y_1 \rightarrow \widehat{T})).$$

Moreover, the insertion of $y_1, \dots, y_s$ in $\widehat{T}$ does not cause a new contraction.

- If $w(U_1)x$ is not a column word, then

$$x \rightarrow U_1 = \begin{bmatrix} U'_1 & y \end{bmatrix},$$

where U'_1 is an admissible column of height $h(U_1)$ and y a letter. Then

$$x \rightarrow T = U'_1(y \rightarrow U_2 \dots U_r),$$

that is, $x \rightarrow T$ is the juxtaposition of U'_1 with the tableau obtained by inserting y in the tableau $U_2 \dots U_r$.

3.3.4. Example. Consider a symplectic tableau

$$T_1 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & \bar{3} & \\ \hline 3 & \bar{2} & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

and a letter $x = 1$. Let us compute $x \rightarrow T_1$. First, we begin inserting x in the leftmost column U_1 of T_1 . The word 1231 is not a column word, then by applying at each step (R_1) or (R_2) , we obtain :

$$1231 \equiv 1213 \equiv 1123,$$

so

$$1 \rightarrow \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline 2 & \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

Then $1 \rightarrow T_1 = U'_1(1 \rightarrow T'_1)$, where

$$U'_1 = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \text{ and } T'_1 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 2 & 3 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline 2 & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

3. Plactic monoid for type C

Similarly, we have $\overline{23}\overline{21} \equiv \overline{23}1\overline{2} \equiv 21\overline{3}\overline{2}$, then

$$1 \rightarrow \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline \overline{3} \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline \overline{3} & \\ \hline 2 & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

So $1 \rightarrow T_1 = U'_1 U'_2 (2 \rightarrow \boxed{3})$, where

$$U'_2 = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline \overline{3} \\ \hline \overline{2} \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

Finally, we have $32 \equiv 32$, then

$$2 \rightarrow \boxed{3} = \boxed{2 \ 3}.$$

Hence,

$$1 \rightarrow T_1 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & \overline{3} & & \\ \hline 3 & 2 & & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

3.3.5. Example. Consider a symplectic tableau

$$T_2 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 3 & 3 & \\ \hline 3 & \overline{3} & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

and a letter $x = \overline{3}$. Let us compute $x \rightarrow T_2$. First, we begin inserting $x = \overline{3}$ in the leftmost column U_1 of T_2 . The word $123\overline{3}$ is a nonadmissible column word, that each strict factor is an admissible column word, we have by applying (R_3) ,

$$123\overline{3} \equiv 12,$$

then

$$\widetilde{U}_1 = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline \end{array} \text{ and } \widehat{T}_2 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 3 & 3 & \\ \hline 3 & & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

So we have to insert the elements of the column $\widetilde{U}_1$ in the tableau $\widehat{T}_2$. First, one inserts 1 :

$$13\overline{3}1 \equiv 131\overline{3} \equiv 113\overline{3},$$

then

$$1 \rightarrow \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline \overline{3} \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \overline{3} & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

We have $231 \equiv 213$, then

$$1 \rightarrow \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

And

$$2 \rightarrow \boxed{3} = \boxed{2 \ 3}.$$

Hence

$$1 \rightarrow \hat{T}_2 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 3 & 3 & & \\ \hline 3 & & & \\ \hline \end{array} = \hat{T}_2'.$$

Secondly, one inserts 2 in the tableau $\hat{T}_2'$:
we have $13\bar{3}2 \equiv 132\bar{3} \equiv 312\bar{3}$, then

$$2 \rightarrow \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline \bar{3} \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

We have $133 \equiv 313$, then

$$3 \rightarrow \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

We have $23 \equiv 23$, then

$$3 \rightarrow \boxed{2} = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

Hence,

$$2 \rightarrow \hat{T}_2' = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 3 & 3 & \\ \hline 3 & & & \\ \hline \end{array} = \bar{3} \rightarrow T_2.$$

3.3.6. Remark. Consider a word w in C_n^* . The symplectic tableau $P(w)$ can be computed by starting with the empty word, which is a valid tableau, and iteratively applying the insertion schemes described above. Notice that when w is the reading of a symplectic tableau T , we have $P(w) = T$.

Let u and v be the readings of two admissible columns U and V respectively. As we have seen in Subsection 3.1, $U \succeq V$ means that the column U can appear to the right of V in a symplectic tableau. Note that $U \not\succeq V$ means that the word uv is not the reading of a symplectic tableau.

3.3.7. Lemma. Let u and v be the readings of two admissible columns U and V respectively. The symplectic tableau $P(uv)$ consists of at most two columns.

Proof. For $U \succeq V$, the result is trivial. Let $u = x_1 \dots x_p$ and $v = y_1 \dots y_q$ be respectively the readings of two admissible columns U and V of height p and q , such that $U \not\succeq V$. Let $u^0 z_1 \dots z_q$ be the highest weight vertex of the connected component containing uv . We begin inserting the first element y_1 of v in the column U . The shape of $P(uy_1)$ depends of the connected component containing uy_1 . The highest weight vertex of this component is $u^0 z_1$. By Lemma 2.2.3, u^0 is of highest weight and $\varepsilon_i(z_1) \leq \varphi_i(u^0)$, for any $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then we obtain the following cases.

Case 1: $u^0 z_1 = 1 \dots p(p+1)$. In this case, uy_1 is an admissible column word, $z_1 = p+1$ and $\text{wt}(z_1) = \Lambda_{p+1} - \Lambda_p$. Then during the insertion of the letter y_1 in the column U , this column of height p corresponding to the weight Λ_p is transformed into a column of height $p+1$ corresponding to the weight

3. Plactic monoid for type C

Λ_{p+1} . Its reading is uy_1 . After one continues inserting the others elements $y_2, \dots, y_q$ of the column word v . We know by the definition of an admissible column that every element of this column is strictly larger than its preceding, then we have two cases:

First, suppose that $z_i = p + i$, for $i = 2, \dots, q$. Then $\text{wt}(z_i) = \Lambda_{p+i} - \Lambda_{p+i-1}$ and during the insertion of y_i in the column of reading $uy_1 \dots y_{i-1}$, this column of height $p + i - 1$ is turned into the column of reading $uy_1 \dots y_i$ and of height $p + i$. Thus uv is an admissible column word and $P(uv)$ consists of one column uv .

Second, suppose that there exists an element y_k of the column word v such that $uy_1 \dots y_{k-1}y_k$ is a nonadmissible column word whose each strict factor is an admissible column word, then $z_k = \overline{p + k - 1}$ and $\text{wt}(z_k) = \Lambda_{p+k-2} - \Lambda_{p+k-1}$, then during the insertion of y_k in the admissible column of reading $uy_1 \dots y_{k-1}$, this column of height $p + k - 1$ is transformed into a column of height $p + k - 2$. After one continues inserting the remaining elements of v , then one adds those letters in distinct rows in the considered column or one removes some letters from distinct rows of the same column.

Hence, in this case $P(uv)$ consists of one column.

Case 2: $u^0 z_1 = 1 \dots p\overline{p}$. In this case, uy_1 is a nonadmissible column word such that each strict factor is an admissible column word. We have $\text{wt}(z_1) = \Lambda_{p-1} - \Lambda_p$, then during the insertion of y_1 in the admissible column U , this column of height p is turned into a column of height $p - 1$. Since the elements of the column V are strictly increasing, one can prove by similar arguments of Case 1, that during the computation of $P((uy_1)y_2 \dots y_q)$, one adds a number of boxes of the considered column in distinct rows and one removes some boxes from distinct rows of the same column. Note also that the column U can be contracted to become empty. Hence, we have in this case that $P(uv)$ consists of one column or zero columns.

Case 3: $u^0 z_1 = 1 \dots p1$. In this case, uy_1 is not a column word, then during the insertion of y_1 in the admissible column U , an element appears in a second column. After, one inserts the next element y_2 of the column V in $P(uy_1)$, the highest weight of the connected component containing $w(P(uy_1))y_2$ may be written $w(P(uy_1)^0)z_2$, where $w(P(uy_1)^0)$ is of highest weight and by Lemma 2.2.3, we have:

(i) $z_2 = i$ (with $i = p + 1$ or $i = 2$), then its weight is equal to $\Lambda_i - \Lambda_{i-1}$, then during the insertion $y_2 \rightarrow P(uy_1)$ a column of height $i - 1$ is turned into a column of height i . Then one adds a box in the left column or in the right column of $P(uy_1)$.

(ii) $z_2 = \overline{p}$, then its weight is equal to $\Lambda_{p-1} - \Lambda_p$, then during the insertion $y_2 \rightarrow P(uy_1)$, the right column of height p is turned into a column of height $p - 1$.

After we continue inserting the remaining letters of v , and since every element is strictly larger than its preceding, one adds boxes in distinct rows in the right or in the left column and similarly one removes boxes from distinct rows of the considered symplectic tableau. Note also that it is impossible that one of the columns contracts to become empty. Indeed, let u and v be respectively the readings of two admissible columns U and V such that uv is of highest weight. Suppose that after adding k boxes in the right column, one inserts p boxes in the left column to contract it into an empty one. Then in this case we have $u = 1 \dots p$ and $v = 1 \dots k\overline{p}(\overline{p-1}) \dots \overline{1}$. We have in the word v that $N(p) = p + k > p$. So the column V is not admissible, which yields a contradiction.

Hence, $P(uv)$ consists of two columns. □

3.3.8. Lemma. *Let u and v be the readings of two admissible columns U and V respectively, such that $U \not\leq V$. Suppose that $P(uv)$ has two columns and let W be the rightmost column. Then the column U contains more elements than W .*

Proof. Let $u = x_1 \dots x_p$ and $v = y_1 \dots y_q$ be respectively the readings of two admissible columns U and V of height p and q , such that $U \not\leq V$. Let w and w' be respectively the readings of the right and left column W and W' of $P(uv)$. If the height of U is greater than the height of V , then in all cases we have $h(W) < p$.

Suppose that $q \geq p$ and the columns U and V contain only unbarred letters. Suppose that during the computation of $P(uv)$, we only add boxes by applying relations of type (R_1) . In other words, we compute $P(uv)$ by Schensted's insertion. If $h(W) = p$, then during inserting the first p elements of V , p boxes are added in the second column and they are all filled by elements of U . Since the number of added boxes is equal to the height of U , $w(P(uv)) = uv$. Then $U \geq V$ which yields a contradiction. Hence, $h(W) < p$.

Suppose now that during the computation of $P(uv)$, we only add boxes by applying relations of type (R_1) or (R_2) . By definition of $P(uv)$ we have $w(P(uv)) = ww' \equiv uv$. Then the words uv and ww' occur at the same place in their isomorphic connected components $B(uv)$ and $B(ww')$ of the crystal G_n . Note that all the vertices in a connected component are the readings of tableaux of the same shape. Let $(uv)^0$ and $(ww')^0$ be respectively the highest weight vertices of $B(uv)$ and $B(ww')$. By Remark 3.1.5, the word $(ww')^0$ is the reading of a tableau that all its elements are unbarred letters, then $(uv)^0$ and $(ww')^0$ are related by relations of type (R_1) . Hence, as we have seen above, the height of the second column of $P((uv)^0)$ is strictly less than p . Since $(ww')^0$ and ww' are the readings of two symplectic tableaux of the same shape, the length of w is strictly less than p .

Suppose that during the insertion of the first k elements of v , for $k \leq p - 1$, into the column U , we add k boxes in a second column. Then

$$P(uy_1 \dots y_k) = \boxed{U_1 \mid U_2},$$

where U_1 contains p elements and U_2 contains the k added boxes. After we insert y_{k+1} in the column U_1 . Suppose that $w(U_1)y_{k+1}$ is a nonadmissible column word such that all of its proper factors are admissible. Let $w(\widetilde{U_1})y_{k+1}$ be the column word obtained from $w(U_1)y_{k+1}$ after applying relation of type (R_3) . Then we insert the elements of $w(\widetilde{U_1})y_{k+1}$ in the column U_2 . This insertion does not cause a new contraction. Then if we obtained two columns, the height of the right one is strictly less than the height of U_2 which is strictly less than p . After we continue inserting the remaining elements of v , and the height of the right column of the final tableau is strictly less than p . $\square$

4. CONVERGENT PRESENTATION OF PLACTIC MONOID FOR TYPE C

4.1. Knuth-like presentation

Consider a presentation of the plactic monoid $\mathbf{P}_n(C)$, by the 2-polygraph $\Sigma^{\text{Sp}(n)}$, whose set of 1-cells is C_n and whose 2-cells correspond to the relations (R_1) , (R_2) and (R_3) oriented with respect to the reverse

4. Convergent presentation of plactic monoid for type C

deglex order, that is

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_2^{\text{Sp}(n)} = & \{ xzy \xrightarrow{K_{x,y,z}} zxy \mid x < y \leq z \text{ and } z \neq \bar{x} \} \\ & \cup \{ yxz \xrightarrow{K'_{x,y,z}} yzx \mid x \leq y < z \text{ and } z \neq \bar{x} \} \\ & \cup \{ yx\bar{x} \xrightarrow{\xi_{x,y,\bar{x}}} y\overline{(x-1)}(x-1) \mid x \leq y \leq \bar{x} \text{ and } 1 < x \leq n \} \\ & \cup \{ x\bar{x}y \xrightarrow{\xi'_{x,y,\bar{x}}} \overline{(x-1)}(x-1)y \mid x \leq y \leq \bar{x} \text{ and } 1 < x \leq n \} \\ & \cup \{ w \xrightarrow{\zeta_w} \tilde{w} \mid w \text{ and } \tilde{w} \text{ satisfy the conditions of the relation } (R_3) \}. \end{aligned}$$

The order being monomial, the 2-polygraph $\Sigma^{\text{Sp}(n)}$ is terminating.

4.1.1. Remark. For $n \geq 4$, the Knuth presentation of the plactic monoid for type A doesn't admit a finite completion compatible with the reverse deglex order. Indeed, by similar arguments used in [KO14], one can show that during the completion one adds an infinity of 2-cells of the form $232^i124 \implies 2342^i12$, for $i > 1$. The 2-polygraph $\Sigma^{\text{Sp}(n)}$ contains the Knuth relations for type A and we can not apply relations of type (R_2) and (R_3) on the words 232^i124 and 2342^i12 , for $i > 1$, then the 2-polygraph $\Sigma^{\text{Sp}(n)}$ does not also admit a finite completion compatible with the reverse deglex order.

4.2. Column presentation

In order to give a finite convergent presentation of the plactic monoid $\mathbf{P}_n(C)$, one introduces the admissible column generators. The set of generators is

$$\Gamma_1 = \{ c_u \mid u \text{ is a nonempty admissible column word of } C_n^* \},$$

where each symbol c_u represents the element u of $\mathbf{P}_n(C)$. In particular, the word c_x represents the letter x in C_n , hence the set Γ_1 also generates $\mathbf{P}_n(C)$.

Let $w = x_1 \dots x_{l(w)}$ and $\tilde{w} = \tilde{x}_1 \dots \tilde{x}_{l(\tilde{w})}$ be two columns such that $w \equiv \tilde{w}$ by a relation of type (R_3) .

We consider the two following sets of 2-cells, the 2-cells corresponding to the relations (R_1) , (R_2) and (R_3) , that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_2^{\text{Sp}(n)} = & \{ c_x c_z c_y \xrightarrow{C_{K_{x,y,z}}} c_z c_x c_y \mid x < y \leq z \text{ and } z \neq \bar{x} \} \\ & \cup \{ c_y c_x c_z \xrightarrow{C'_{K_{x,y,z}}} c_y c_z c_x \mid x \leq y < z \text{ and } z \neq \bar{x} \} \\ & \cup \{ c_y c_x c_{\bar{x}} \xrightarrow{C_{\xi_{x,y,\bar{x}}}} c_y c_{\overline{(x-1)}} c_{(x-1)} \mid x \leq y \leq \bar{x} \text{ and } 1 < x \leq n \} \\ & \cup \{ c_x c_{\bar{x}} c_y \xrightarrow{C_{\xi'_{x,y,\bar{x}}}} c_{\overline{(x-1)}} c_{(x-1)} c_y \mid x \leq y \leq \bar{x} \text{ and } 1 < x \leq n \} \\ & \cup \{ c_{x_1} \dots c_{x_{l(w)}} \xrightarrow{C_{\zeta_w}} c_{\tilde{x}_1} \dots c_{\tilde{x}_{l(\tilde{w})}} \mid w \text{ and } \tilde{w} \text{ verify the relation } (R_3) \}, \end{aligned}$$

and the 2-cells corresponding to the defining relations for the extra column generators c_u , where u is a nonempty admissible column word of $\mathcal{C}_n^*$ with $l(u) \geq 2$,

$$\Gamma_2^{c(n)} = \{ c_{y_1} \dots c_{y_k} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{y_1, \dots, y_k}} c_{y_1 \dots y_k} \mid y_1 \dots y_k \text{ is a nonempty admissible column} \}.$$

The monoid $\mathbf{P}_n(C)$ is presented by the 2-polygraph $\Gamma^{(n)} = (\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2^{(n)})$, with $\Gamma_2^{(n)} = \Gamma_2^{Sp(n)} \cup \Gamma_2^{c(n)}$.

Let u and v be respectively the readings of two nonempty admissible columns U and V . Suppose that $U \not\leq V$, by Lemma 3.3.7 the symplectic tableau $P(uv)$ consists of at most two columns. Define a 2-cell

- $c_u c_v \xrightarrow{\alpha_{u,v}} c_w c_{w'}$, where the words w and w' are respectively the readings of the right and left columns W and W' of $P(uv)$ if this symplectic tableau consists of two columns.
- $c_u c_v \xrightarrow{\alpha_{u,v}} c_w$, where w is the reading of the column W of $P(uv)$ if it consists of one column.
- $c_u c_v \xrightarrow{\alpha_{u,v}} c_\varepsilon$, where ε is the empty word if $P(uv)$ consists of zero columns.

Define

$$\Omega_2 = \{ c_u c_v \xrightarrow{\alpha_{u,v}} c_w c_{w'} \mid u \text{ and } v \text{ are nonempty admissible columns words of } \mathcal{C}_n^* \text{ such that } U \not\leq V \}.$$

The 2-polygraph $\Sigma^{acol(n)} = (\Gamma_1, \Omega_2)$ is called the *column presentation*.

4.2.1. Remark. Every rule in Ω_2 holds in the symplectic plactic monoid $\mathbf{P}_n(C)$, indeed,

$$c_u c_v \equiv uv \equiv w(P(uv)) = ww' \equiv c_w c_{w'}.$$

Let $<$ be the total order on $\mathcal{C}_n$ defined by $1 < 2 < \dots < n < \bar{n} < \dots < \bar{1}$. Denote by $<_{\text{deg}}$ the deglex order induced by $<$ on the monoid $\mathcal{C}_n^*$. Let us define an order on Γ_1^* . First, let $\sqsubset$ be the total order on Γ_1 defined by

$$c_u \sqsubset c_v \text{ if } l(u) < l(v) \text{ or } [l(u) = l(v) \text{ and } u <_{\text{lex}} v].$$

Secondly, consider the order $\prec$ on Γ_1^* , defined as follows. We have

$$c_{u_1} c_{u_2} \dots c_{u_m} \prec c_{v_1} c_{v_2} \dots c_{v_n} \text{ if } m < n \\ \text{or } (m = n \text{ and there exists } i \text{ such that } c_{u_i} \sqsubset c_{v_i} \text{ and } \forall j < i, c_{u_j} = c_{v_j}),$$

where c_{u_i} and c_{v_j} are elements of Γ_1 , for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$. That is, two elements of Σ_1^* are compared using the number of their symbols. If they have the same number of symbols, we compare them using the total order $\sqsubset$ on the elements of Γ_1 which is induced by the deglex order on the columns words of $\mathcal{C}_n^*$. Then $\prec$ is a total order on Γ_1^* and it is a well-ordering.

4.2.2. Lemma. The 2-polygraph $\Sigma^{acol(n)}$ is finite.

4. Convergent presentation of plactic monoid for type C

Proof. The set Γ_1 is finite thanks to the fact that the admissible column words of $\mathcal{C}_n^*$ have length at most n . Hence, the 2-polygraph $\Sigma^{\text{acol}(n)}$ is finite. $\square$

The following lemma shows that the plactic monoid $\mathbf{P}_n(C)$ is presented by the 2-polygraph $\Sigma^{\text{acol}(n)}$:

4.2.3. Lemma. *The 2-polygraphs $\Gamma^{(n)}$ and $\Sigma^{\text{acol}(n)}$ are Tietze equivalent.*

Proof. Every relation in $\Gamma_2^{\text{Sp}(n)}$ can be deduced from rules in Ω_2 , indeed, the 2-cells $c_{\kappa_{x,y,z}}$ for $x < y \leq z$ and $z \neq \bar{x}$, $c_{\kappa'_{x,y,z}}$ for $x \leq y < z$ and $z \neq \bar{x}$, $c_{\xi_{x,y,\bar{x}}}$ and $c_{\xi'_{x,y,\bar{x}}}$ for $x \leq y \leq \bar{x}$ and $1 < x \leq n$ are obtained from rules in Ω_2 according to the following diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 c_z c_x c_y & \xleftarrow{c_{\kappa_{x,y,z}}} & c_x c_z c_y \\
 \Downarrow c_z \alpha_{x,y} & & \Downarrow \alpha_{x,z} c_y \\
 c_z c_{xy} & \xleftarrow{\alpha_{xz,y}} & c_{xz} c_y
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 c_y c_z c_x & \xleftarrow{c_{\kappa'_{x,y,z}}} & c_y c_x c_z \\
 \Downarrow \alpha_{y,z} c_x & & \Downarrow c_y \alpha_{x,z} \\
 c_{yz} c_x & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{yz,x}} & c_y c_{xz}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 c_y c_{\bar{x}-1} c_{x-1} & \xleftarrow{c_{\xi_{x,y,\bar{x}}}} & c_y c_x c_{\bar{x}} \\
 \Downarrow \alpha_{y,\bar{x}-1} c_{x-1} & & \Downarrow c_y \alpha_{x,\bar{x}} \\
 c_{y(\bar{x}-1)} c_{x-1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{y(\bar{x}-1),x-1}} & c_y c_{x\bar{x}}
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 c_{\bar{x}-1} c_{x-1} c_y & \xleftarrow{c_{\xi'_{x,y,\bar{x}}}} & c_x c_{\bar{x}} c_y \\
 \Downarrow c_{\bar{x}-1} \alpha_{x-1,y} & & \Downarrow \alpha_{x,\bar{x}} c_y \\
 c_{\bar{x}-1} c_{(x-1)y} & \xleftarrow{\alpha_{x\bar{x},y}} & c_{x\bar{x}} c_y
 \end{array}$$

Let $w = x_1 \dots x_p \dots x_q \dots x_k$ be a nonadmissible column word of length k such that each strict factor of it is an admissible column word. Let $z = x_p$ be the lowest unbarred letter such that $z = x_p$ and $\bar{z} = x_q$ occur in w and $N(z) = z + 1$. Then the 2-cell c_{ζ_w} is deduced from rules in Ω_2 according to the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 c_{x_1} \dots c_{x_p} \dots c_{x_q} \dots c_{x_k} & \xrightarrow{c_{\zeta_w}} & c_{x_1} \dots \widehat{c_{x_p}} \dots \widehat{c_{x_q}} \dots c_{x_k} \\
 \Downarrow \alpha_{x_1,x_2} c_{x_3} \dots c_{x_k} & & \Downarrow \alpha_{x_1,x_2} c_{x_3} \dots c_{x_k} \\
 (\dots) & & (\dots) \\
 \Downarrow \alpha_{x_1 \dots x_{k-2}, x_{k-1}} c_{x_k} & & \Downarrow \alpha_{x_1 \dots \widehat{x_p} \dots \widehat{x_q} \dots x_{k-1}, x_k} \\
 c_{x_1} \dots x_p \dots x_q \dots x_{k-1} c_{x_k} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{x_1 \dots x_p \dots x_q \dots x_{k-1}, x_k}} & c_{x_1} \dots \widehat{x_p} \dots \widehat{x_q} \dots x_k
 \end{array}$$

where the symbol $\hat{x}$ means that x is removed.

In addition, any rules $\gamma_{y_1, \dots, y_k}$ in $\Gamma_2^{c(n)}$ can be obtained using those in Ω_2 , according to the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 c_{y_1} \dots c_{y_k} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{y_1, \dots, y_k}} & c_{y_1 \dots y_k} \\
 \downarrow \alpha_{y_1, y_2} c_{y_3} \dots c_{y_k} & & \uparrow \alpha_{y_1 \dots y_{k-1}, y_k} \\
 c_{y_1 y_2} c_{y_3} \dots c_{y_k} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{y_1 y_2, y_3} c_{y_4} \dots c_{y_k}} (\dots) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{y_1 \dots y_{k-2}, y_{k-1}} c_{y_k}} & c_{y_1 \dots y_{k-1}} c_{y_k}
 \end{array}$$

□

4.2.4. Theorem. *The 2-polygraph $\Sigma^{acol(n)}$ is a finite convergent presentation of the monoid $\mathbf{P}_n(\mathbf{C})$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.2.2, the 2-polygraph $\Sigma^{acol(n)}$ is finite. Let us show that it is also convergent. First, in order to prove the termination of $\Sigma^{acol(n)}$, we show that if $h \implies h'$ then $h' \prec h$. One finds two cases.

First case : let $h = pc_u c_v q$ and $h' = pc_w q$, with $p, q \in \Gamma_1^*$ and $c_u, c_v, c_w \in \Gamma_1$. One remarks that h' is shorter than h , then $h' \prec h$.

Second case : let $h = pc_u c_v q$ and $h' = pc_w c_{w'} q$, with $p, q \in \Gamma_1^*$ and $c_u, c_v, c_w, c_{w'} \in \Gamma_1$, where w and w' are respectively the readings of the right and left columns of $P(uv)$. One remarks that h and h' have the same length. By Lemma 3.3.8 the length of u is strictly larger than the length of w , then $c_w \sqsubset c_u$. Consider $i = l(p) + 1$, $c_{u_i} = c_w$ and $c_u = c_{v_i}$ then we have $c_{u_i} \sqsubset c_{v_i}$ and for all $j < i$, $c_{u_j} = c_{v_j}$. Hence $h' \prec h$. Since every application of a 2-cell of Ω_2 yields a $\prec$ -preceding word, it follows that any sequence of rewriting using Ω_2 must terminate. Hence, the 2-polygraph $\Sigma^{acol(n)}$ is terminating.

Let us show the confluence of the 2-polygraph $\Sigma^{acol(n)}$. Let $h \in \Gamma_1^*$ and h', h'' be two normal forms obtained from h . We have to prove that $h' = h''$. Suppose that $h' = c_{u_k} \dots c_{u_1}$. Since h' is a normal form, the words $u_1, \dots, u_k$ are respectively the readings of k admissible columns $U_1, \dots, U_k$ of a symplectic tableau, i.e., $U_i \preceq U_{i+1}, \forall i$. Then $u_k \dots u_1 = w(T')$, where T' is the unique symplectic tableau such that

$$w(T') = u_k \dots u_1 \equiv h'.$$

Similarly, $h'' = c_{v_l} \dots c_{v_1}$ is a normal form, then there exists a unique symplectic tableau T'' such that

$$w(T'') = v_l \dots v_1 \equiv h''.$$

Since $h \equiv h' \equiv h''$, we have by Theorem 3.2.3 that $T' = T''$. Then we have $k = l$ and $u_i = v_i, \forall i = 1, \dots, k$. Thus $h' = h''$.

Hence, the 2-polygraph $\Sigma^{acol(n)}$ is convergent. □

4.3. Finiteness properties of plactic monoid of type C

A monoid is of *finite derivation type* (FDT₃) if it admits a finite presentation whose relations among the relations are finitely generated, see [SOK94]. The property FDT₃ is a natural extension of the properties

REFERENCES

of being finitely generated (FDT_1) and finitely presented (FDT_2). Using the notion of polygraphic resolution, one can define the higher-dimensional finite derivation type properties FDT_∞ , see [GM12]. They generalise in any dimension the finite derivation type FDT_3 . A monoid is said to be FDT_∞ if it admits a finite polygraphic resolution. By Corollary 4.5.4 in [GM12], a monoid with a finite convergent presentation is FDT_∞ . Then by Theorem 4.2.4, we have

4.3.1. Proposition. *Plactic monoids of type C satisfy the homotopical finiteness condition FDT_∞ .*

In the homological way, a monoid M is of *homological type* FP_∞ when there exists a resolution of M by projective, finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}M$ -modules. By Corollary 5.4.4 in [GM12] the property FDT_∞ implies the property FP_∞ . Hence we have

4.3.2. Proposition. *Plactic monoids of type C satisfy the homological finiteness property type FP_∞ .*

Starting with the column presentation $\Sigma^{\text{acol}(n)}$ of the monoid $\mathbf{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$, we hope to construct a polygraphic resolution of $\mathbf{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$ by studying the confluence of all the critical branchings of the presentation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to thank the anonymous referees for their comments and meaningful suggestions that helped to produce an improved version of this work. Special thanks are due to Stephane Gaussent and Philippe Malbos for their advices and comments as well as for every useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [Ani86] David J. Anick. On the homology of associative algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 296(2):641–659, 1986.
- [Bak00] T. H. Baker. An insertion scheme for C_n crystals. In *Physical combinatorics (Kyoto, 1999)*, volume 191 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 1–48. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2000.
- [BCCL15] L. A. Bokut, Yuqun Chen, Weiping Chen, and Jing Li. New approaches to plactic monoid via Gröbner–Shirshov bases. *J. Algebra*, 423:301–317, 2015.
- [Bou68] N. Bourbaki. *Éléments de mathématique. Fasc. XXXIV. Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitre IV: Groupes de Coxeter et systèmes de Tits. Chapitre V: Groupes engendrés par des réflexions. Chapitre VI: systèmes de racines.* Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, No. 1337. Hermann, Paris, 1968.
- [CGM14] A. J. Cain, R. D. Gray, and A. Malheiro. Crystal bases, finite complete rewriting systems, and bi-automatic structures for Plactic monoids of types A_n , B_n , C_n , D_n , and G_2 . *ArXiv e-prints*, December 2014.
- [CGM15] Alan J. Cain, Robert D. Gray, and António Malheiro. Finite Gröbner–Shirshov bases for Plactic algebras and biautomatic structures for Plactic monoids. *J. Algebra*, 423:37–53, 2015.
- [Ful97] William Fulton. *Young tableaux*, volume 35 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. With applications to representation theory and geometry.
- [GGM15] Stéphane Gaussent, Yves Guiraud, and Philippe Malbos. Coherent presentations of Artin monoids. *Compos. Math.*, 151(5):957–998, 2015.

-
- [GM12] Yves Guiraud and Philippe Malbos. Higher-dimensional normalisation strategies for acyclicity. *Adv. Math.*, 231(3-4):2294–2351, 2012.
 - [GM14] Yves Guiraud and Philippe Malbos. Polygraphs of finite derivation type. submitted, arXiv:1402.2587, 2014.
 - [JMMO91] Michio Jimbo, Kailash C. Misra, Tetsuji Miwa, and Masato Okado. Combinatorics of representations of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n))$ at $q = 0$. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 136(3):543–566, 1991.
 - [Kas91] Masaki Kashiwara. Crystallizing the q -analogue of universal enveloping algebras. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I, II (Kyoto, 1990)*, pages 791–797. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 1991.
 - [Kas95] Masaki Kashiwara. On crystal bases. In *Representations of groups (Banff, AB, 1994)*, volume 16 of *CMS Conf. Proc.*, pages 155–197. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.
 - [KN85] Deepak Kapur and Paliath Narendran. A finite Thue system with decidable word problem and without equivalent finite canonical system. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 35(2-3):337–344, 1985.
 - [KN94] Masaki Kashiwara and Toshiki Nakashima. Crystal graphs for representations of the q -analogue of classical Lie algebras. *J. Algebra*, 165(2):295–345, 1994.
 - [Knu70] Donald E. Knuth. Permutations, matrices, and generalized Young tableaux. *Pacific J. Math.*, 34:709–727, 1970.
 - [KO14] Łukasz Kubat and Jan Okniński. Gröbner-Shirshov bases for plactic algebras. *Algebra Colloq.*, 21(4):591–596, 2014.
 - [Kob90] Yuji Kobayashi. Complete rewriting systems and homology of monoid algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 65(3):263–275, 1990.
 - [Lec02] Cédric Lecouvey. Schensted-type correspondence, plactic monoid, and jeu de taquin for type C_n . *J. Algebra*, 247(2):295–331, 2002.
 - [Lec03] Cédric Lecouvey. Schensted-type correspondences and plactic monoids for types B_n and D_n . *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 18(2):99–133, 2003.
 - [Lit96] Peter Littelmann. A plactic algebra for semisimple Lie algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 124(2):312–331, 1996.
 - [LLT95] Alain Lascoux, Bernard Leclerc, and Jean-Yves Thibon. Crystal graphs and q -analogues of weight multiplicities for the root system A_n . *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 35(4):359–374, 1995.
 - [Lop14] V. Lopatkin. Cohomology Rings of Plactic Monoid Algebra via Gröbner-Shirshov Basis. *ArXiv e-prints*, November 2014.
 - [Lot02] M. Lothaire. *Algebraic combinatorics on words*, volume 90 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
 - [LS81] Alain Lascoux and Marcel-P. Schützenberger. Le monoïde plaxique. In *Noncommutative structures in algebra and geometric combinatorics (Naples, 1978)*, volume 109 of *Quad. “Ricerca Sci.”*, pages 129–156. CNR, Rome, 1981.
 - [Sch61] C. Schensted. Longest increasing and decreasing subsequences. *Canad. J. Math.*, 13:179–191, 1961.
 - [She99] Jeffrey T. Sheats. A symplectic jeu de taquin bijection between the tableaux of King and of De Concini. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 351(9):3569–3607, 1999.
 - [SOK94] Craig C. Squier, Friedrich Otto, and Yuji Kobayashi. A finiteness condition for rewriting systems. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 131(2):271–294, 1994.

REFERENCES

NOHRA HAGE

Université de Lyon,

Institut Camille Jordan, CNRS UMR 5208

Université Jean Monnet

23, boulevard du docteur Paul Michelon,

42000 Saint-Etienne cedex, France.

nohra.hage@univ-st-etienne.fr